REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headqueries Sender, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

Final Report: 31 Aug 98 thru 30 Dec 98 5. FUNDING NUMBERS
5. FUNDING NUMBERS
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 5-20298 & 5-20299
10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
A

The Systems Engineering and Production Directorate (SEPD), Research, Development and Engineering Center (RDEC) at the U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Command (AMCOM) has an engineering support contract with the University of Alabama in Huntsville. The scope of this contract provides for activities in systems engineering and manufacturing technology. The Industrial Operations Division (IOD), SEPD has the mission and function of providing technical management and engineering support as they relate to AMCOM supported weapon systems. This management and support ensures that programmatic and technical changes are incorporated only after a systematic technical evaluation and review of the total impact and cost of the change is conducted. The systems engineering analysis evaluates the long-term life cycle consequences of the change, to include impacts on the manufacturability, maintainability and supportability of the overall weapon system. In order to fulfill its mission, the IOD required programmatic and engineering support in analysis of the AMCOM systems.

19991004 043

14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
systems engineering analysis			16. PRICE CODE
17 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BLOCK BELOW

DA	O# copies are being forwarded. Indicate whether Statement A, B, C, D, E, F, or X applies.
×	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED
	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT B: DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES ONLY; (indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).
	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT C: DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND THEIR CONTRACTS (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).
	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT D: DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO DoD AND U.S. DoD CONTRACTORS ONLY; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).
	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT E: DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO DoD COMPONENTS ONLY; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).
0	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT F: FUTHER DISSEMINATION ONLY AS DIRECTED BY (Indicate Controlling DoD Office and Date) or HIGHER DoD AUTHORITY.
	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT X: DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS OR ENTERPRISES ELIGIBLE TO OBTAIN EXPORT-CONTROLLED TECHNICAL DATA IN ACCORDANCE WITH Dod DIRECTIVE 5230.25. WITHHOLDING OF UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL DATA FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE, 6 Nov 1984 (indicate date of determination). CONTROLLING Dod Office IS (Indicate Controlling Dod Office).
	This document was previously forwarded to DTIC on (date) and the AD number is
	In accordance with provisions of DoD instructions. The document requested is not supplied because:
0	It will be published at a later date. (Enter approximate date, if known).
0	Other. (Give Reason)
Do:	D Directive 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents," 18 Mar 87, contains seven distribution tements, as described briefly above. Technical Documents must be assigned distribution statements.
	GARY A. MADDUX Print or Type Name
	Print or Type Name
×	Jany aldre 25 Aug 99 256 890 6343 x223
	Authorized Signature/Date Telephone Number

Technical Report 5-20298 & 5-20299 Contract No. DAAH01-98-D-R001 Delivery Order No. 25

Systems Engineering Analysis of AMCOM Program(s)

(5-20298 & 5-20299)

Final Technical Report for Period 31 August 98 through 30 December 1998

August 1999

Prepared by:

Gary A. Maddux

Systems Management & Production Lab The University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama 35899

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898 Attn.: Mr. Doug Johnston

PREFACE

This technical report was prepared by the staff of the Research Institute, The University of Alabama in Huntsville. The purpose of this report is to provide documentation of the work performed and results obtained under Delivery Order 25 of AMCOM Contract No. DAAH01-98-D-R001. Mr. George Wandler and Mr. Gary Maddux were the principal investigators. Mr. Wandler of UAH served as lead engineer. Mr. Doug Johnston, Industrial Operations Division, Systems Engineering and Production Directorate, Research, Development, and Engineering Center, U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command, provided technical coordination.

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision unless so designated by other official documentation.

Except as provided by the Contract Data Requirements List DD Form 1423, hereof, the distribution of any contract report in any state of development or completion is prohibited without the approval of the Contracting Officer.

Prepared for: Commander

U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command

Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

I have reviewed this report, dated <u>August 1999</u> and the report contains no classified information.

Principal/Investigator

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	OBJECTIVES	1
3.0	STATEMENT OF WORK	1
4.0	ANALYSIS OF AMCOM PROGRAMS2)
5.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2

1.0 Introduction

The Systems Engineering and Production Directorate (SEPD), Research, Development and Engineering Center (RDEC) at the U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Command (AMCOM) has an engineering support contract with the University of Alabama in Huntsville. The scope of this contract provides for activities in systems engineering and manufacturing technology. The Industrial Operations Division (IOD), SEPD has the mission and function of providing technical management and engineering support as they relate to AMCOM supported weapon systems. This management and support ensures that programmatic and technical changes are incorporated only after a systematic technical evaluation and review of the total impact and cost of the change is conducted. The systems engineering analysis evaluates the long-term life cycle consequences of the change, to include impacts on the manufacturability, maintainability and supportability of the overall weapon system. In order to fulfill its mission, the IOD required programmatic and engineering support in analysis of the AMCOM systems.

2.0 Objective

The objective of this task was to perform programmatic and systems engineering analysis of AMCOM programs as directed by the IOD and formulate appropriate information reports and/or recommendations that could be used to lower life cycle costs (LCC) and improve the maintainability and reliability of future systems.

3.0 Statement of Work

The statement of work, as outlined in delivery order 25, was as follows:

- 3.1 UAH shall analyze the availability of microelectronic parts used in AMCOM systems. The analyses shall be for microelectronics specifically identified by the IOD. UAH shall assess the health of the present system configuration in terms of availability, and recommend solutions to non-availability problems. Solutions shall be presented with sufficient documentation to justify design change considerations. UAH shall not only present solutions that are unique to the system under investigation, but shall also utilize solutions that have been developed for other weapon systems within the Army and DoD when applicable. This analyses shall involve the use of government-furnished databases and other automated tools such as the Enhanced Microcircuit Obsolescence Analysis Tool (E-MOAT), TACTech, and IHS Caps Expert. Other sources of information shall be used as required. UAH shall provide support to other obsolescence-related efforts as required.
- 3.2 UAH shall provide technical support to the assigned program(s) manager and system engineer by insuring fulfillment of general system management requirements.

3.3 UAH shall promote and implement IOD mission objectives as detailed in the IOD Missions and Functions Memorandum Dated 19 Aug 92.

4.0 Analysis of AMCOM Programs

Under this task members of the UAH Systems Management and Production Lab performed a detailed engineering analysis on component parts of several AMCOM weapon systems. Specifically, microelectronic components were analyzed according to their availability and expected life cycle. To ascertain this information, UAH worked with the electronics industry, AMCOM project offices, and other government agencies. UAH also worked closely with the SEPD IOD systems engineer to resolve design problems as they arose. The specific results of these task efforts were published and delivered to IOD under separate cover.

5.0 Conclusion and Recommendations

During the time frame allocated by the delivery order, members of the UAH Systems Management and Production Lab, with the cooperation of representatives from the AMCOM Systems Engineering and Production Directorate and AMCOM project offices investigated the life cycle supportability of the microelectronics of several AMCOM weapon systems. Because of the rapidly changing microelectronics industry, it is imperative that this assessment be refreshed on a periodic basis. Only through the diligent monitoring of a complex system can its sustainability issues be properly addressed. It is recommended that AMCOM project offices adopt a proactive obsolescence management philosophy so that the total cost of ownership is reduced over the system's life cycle.